California Department of Education November 2012

District Name: Rosemead School **CD Code:** 19-64931

District

LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLAN ADDENDUM TEMPLATE

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act, codified as No Child Left Behind (NCLB, Section 1116[c][7][A]), requires that local educational agencies (LEAs) identified for Program Improvement (PI) shall, not later than three months after being identified, develop or revise an LEA Plan, in consultation with parents, school staff, and others. Rather than completely rewriting the existing LEA Plan, we recommend using this LEA Plan Addendum template to address the items below. Type your responses in the expandable text boxes.

Prior to developing this revision, please use the State Assessment Tools, as applicable, to analyze school/district needs for improved student achievement. These tools are available on the California Department of Education (CDE) State Assessment Tools Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ti/stateassesspi.asp.

Please submit your completed LEA Plan Addendum by uploading the completed document into the Program Improvement Year I monitoring instrument in the California Accountability Improvement System (CAIS). Contact Janice Morrison, Education Programs Consultant, District Innovation and Improvement Office by e-mail at jamorrison@cde.ca.gov if you need technical assistance in uploading the document.

The LEA Plan Addendum must be submitted to the CDE no later than March 18, 2013. The LEA Plan Addendum should:

1. Identify fundamental teaching and learning needs in the schools of the LEA and the specific academic problems of low-achieving students, including a determination of why the prior LEA Plan failed to bring about increased academic achievement for all student groups.

Please provide a summary analysis of the needs assessment used to identify student learning needs (especially the academic problems of low achieving students). Include an analysis of why the prior LEA Plan was not successful.

- 1. Discuss the results of the assessments used to determine the teaching and learning needs of the schools and the district.
- 2. Identify academic priorities.
- 3. Discuss why the prior LEA Plan was not successful.

Utilized the DSLT, technical assistance from LACOE, reviewed State and Federal Accountability to determine groups of students that should be considered as high priority, administered the four State tools:

In amending the plan, *Education Code* Section 52055.57(b) requires that LEAs use the following state-developed tools to ensure key ESEA requirements identified above are addressed:

• **District Assistance Survey (DAS)** This survey is designed to reveal how a district supports schools across seven areas:

1) Standards-based Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment; 2) Professional Development; 3) Human Resources; 4) Data Systems/Data Analysis/Ongoing Monitoring; 5) Parent and Community Involvement; 6) Fiscal Operations; and 7) Governance and Leadership.

• School-level Academic Program Surveys (APS)

This tool measures the presence of the nine Essential Program Components for Instructional Success at the school level. They are available for elementary, middle and high school levels and should be used in any underperforming school or school enrolling students whose achievement contributed to the district's PI designation.

• English Learner Subgroup Self-Assessment (ELSSA)

The ELSSA will help LEAs to analyze and revise their LEA Plan in order to improve outcomes for their English learners (EL).

• Inventory of Services and Supports (ISS) for Students with Disabilities

The ISS is designed to help districts examine their policies, procedures, and practices to gain a deeper understanding of students with disabilities learning needs. The tool is designed to provide a framework for the District Leadership Team to use that will enhance instructional programs for the district.

The result of these surveys indicated that the following areas need to be district-wide areas of focus:

- 1. Common materials in ELA, Math, and ELD aligned to CCSS
- 2. Common and sufficient instructional time for full implementation of core subjects, intervention, and ELD
- 3. Common pacing guides
- 4. District-wide benchmark assessments
- 5. Ensure that data is provided to teachers in a timely manner
- 6. District-wide system of collaboration
- 7. Multi-tiered intervention program
- 8. Professional development for teachers and administrators
- 9. Parent Involvement & Engagement
- 10. Technology

The current LEA plan was not successful because:

- The district does not have a multi-tiered intervention system in place
- The current ELA assessments are not directly aligned to CSTs
- District-wide consistent use of ELA formative and summative assessments have not occurred
- No formal formative and summative assessments to monitor progress of ELs in ELD
- A systematic approach to collaboration has not been established
- Adequate time for the systematic approach to collaboration has not been established

2. Include specific, measurable achievement goals and targets for student groups identified as not making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP), including students with disabilities and English learners, as appropriate.

Please describe specific, measurable academic goals and targets for student achievement for student groups identified as not making AYP. (Refer to the CDE AYP Reports Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/aypreports.asp.)

Anticipated annual performance growth for each significant subgroup in English Language Arts and Mathematics

Anticipated annual performance growth for each group in English Language Arts:

School-wide – Grow from 65.4% to 68.9% (SH)

Asian – Grow from 77.3% to 79.6% (SH)

Hispanic – Grow from 50.9% to 55.8% (SH)

English Learner – Grow from 53.5% to 58.2% (SH)

Socio-Economically Disadvantaged – Grow from 62.2% to 66% (SH)

Students With Disabilities – Grow from 38.2% to 44.4%

Anticipated annual performance growth for each group in Mathematics:

School-wide – Grow from 71.8% to 74.6% (SH)

Asian – Grow from 86.3% to 87.7% (SH)

Hispanic – Grow from 55.2% to 59.7% (SH)

English Learner – Grow from 70.4% to 73.4% (SH)

Socio-Economically Disadvantaged – Grow from 69.5% to 72.6% (SH)

Students With Disabilities – Grow from 49.5% to 54.6%

The expectations of this addendum is that all subgroups would meet the SH percentages on the new 2014-15 CCSS district benchmark assessments. This would show growth from where our students performed on the 2013 CSTs and the new district benchmark assessments. If available, we would expect to meet the new state and federal accountability measures aligned with CalMAPP. Monitoring would occur during the district Accountability Site meetings in Fall 2015.

3. Incorporate research-based strategies to strengthen the core academic program for identified student groups in

schools served by the LEA, including students with disabilities and English learners, as appropriate.

Please describe the specific strategies that the district will use and how those strategies will be implemented and monitored to strengthen the core academic program.

Strategy 1: common materials in ELA, Math, and ELD aligned to CCSS

Strategy 2: common and sufficient instructional time for full implementation of core subjects, intervention, and ELD

Strategy 3:develop common pacing guides to ensure that students are able to show mastery of the grade level CCSS in ELA, math, and ELD

Strategy 4: develop district-wide benchmark assessments in ELA, math, and ELD

Strategy 5: Ensure that data is provided to teachers in a timely manner to ensure that data can guide instruction

Strategy 6: Develop a district-wide system of collaboration to ensure teachers have time to share best practices, analyze data, and align instruction

Strategy 7: Ensure that every school implements a multi-tiered intervention program

Strategy 8: Technology

Strategy 9: The district will provide teachers and administration with high quality professional development

Strategy 10: Parent Involvement & Engagement

Monitoring Implementation:

Current

Walkthroughs

Principal Summit reports to the DSLT and Board of Trustees

Monthly principal meetings

Accountability Reviews

AGB monitors collaboration time at the middle school

Monitoring attendance at professional development events and collaboration meetings

<u>New</u>

Submitting student achievement goals after summative assessments

Protocols for collaboration

Categorical budgets are aligned to action items in SPSAs Monitoring implementation at each DSLT Coaching support

4. Specify actions to implement the identified strategies that have the greatest likelihood of improving student achievement in meeting state standards.

Please identify actions to be implemented to accomplish the	Person(s)	Specific	Estimated
identified strategies and how they will be supported and	Responsible	Timeline	Cost/
monitored. (See examples of full implementation descriptions in the Academic	rtooponoibio	111101110	Funding
Program Survey [APS] and the District Assistance Survey [DAS] on the CDE			Source
State Assessment Tools Web page at			Jource
http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ti/stateassesspi.asp.)			
Strategy 1: common materials in ELA, Math, and ELD aligned to	a. Dir. of Ed.	a. Begins	a. \$27,000 Year
CCSS Implementing Common Materials	Svcs., Committee	February 2014-	1, \$20,000 in
 a. The Synced Solution – Action Learning Systems 	Teachers, Site	June 2014	subsequent years
 A scope and sequence will be developed that will 	Admin., Sr. Dir. of		(CCSS Funding),
modify our current ELA and Math adoptions	HR, Dir. Fiscal		\$14,000 - subs
materials in order to address the new common	b. Dir. of Ed.		
core state standards.	Svcs., Pilot		
ii. Scope and sequence will include strategies and	Committee, Site		
materials specifically for special education,	Admin.		
intervention, and EL students.			
b. New CCSS Aligned Mathematics material adoption K-8	c. Dir. of Ed.	b. Spring 2014	b. \$300,000
c. ELD Materials (K-8) will need to be realigned to address	Svcs., ELD	c. Summer	(\$100,000 –
the new CCSS.	Teachers &	2014	CCSS; \$200,000-
d. ELD materials for 6 th grade which are aligned to Common	Committee	d. May-June	IMF)
Core needs to be purchased.	Teachers	2014	c. \$8,000 EIA-
e. Ensure that language arts text is sufficiently complex to	d. Dir. of Ed.	e. 2014-2015	LEP/LCFF
meet the rigor of Common Core and purchase needed	Svcs., 6 th grade		d. \$20,000-LCFF
materials. Identify and purchase text titles for each grade	teachers		e. no cost
level found in Appendix B of the CCSS to implement	e. All teachers,		
district-wide.	Site Admin, Dir.		
Strategy 2: common and sufficient instructional time for full	of Ed. Svcs.		
implementation of core subjects, intervention, and ELD			
a. Systematic, District-wide block schedule for Tiered	a. DSLT	a. Spring 2014	a. no cost
Interventions to accommodate and facilitate individual			
needs based on ability to eliminate the current pull-out			i. \$40 per hour,
programs.			Title I, Title III,
i. Additional hourly staffing may be needed. –	i. DSLT, District &	i Spring 2014	LCFF as
/ dational floarly starting may be floaded.	DOLI, DISTRICT &	in Opining 2017	20.1 40

		,	T	
	SUBJECT TO NEGOTIATIONS	RTA Negotiation		appropriate
	ii. All materials used during district-wide instructional	Teams	ii. 2014-15	ii. no cost
	time will be systematic and implemented to meet	ii. Teachers, site		
	all district benchmarks.	admin., Dir. of Ed.		
b.	Time will need to be provided for all students to learn	Svcs.,	b. 2014-15	b. TBD
	computer-based skills and grade level technology skills	b. District, Site		
	(expectations)	Admin.		
C.	Uniform planning (release time during computer and	c. Site Admin.	c. currently in	c. cost of lab
	library time) time for all school sites	d. Teachers, Site	place	aides / MMS
d.	District-wide grade-level/ cross-level planning time to	Admin, Dir. of Ed.	d. 2014-15	d. no cost
	implement professional development (planning core and	Svcs.,		
	supplemental materials that will be used district-wide).	,		
e.	Extended day or full day kindergarten will be implemented	e. DSLT, District	e. January 2014	e. Approximately
	to allow for sufficient instructional time for Common Core -	& RTA	(negotiations),	\$72,000 - LCFF
	SUBJECT TO NEGOTIATIONS	Negotiation	implement	
f.	Common instructional day (start and end times) -	Teams	8/2014	
	SUBJECT TO NEGOTIATIONS	f. DSLT, District &	f. January 2014	f. no cost
q.	Reduce interruptions to classroom activities.	RTA Negotiation	(negotiations),	
J	·	Teams	implement	
Strate	gy 3: develop common pacing guides to ensure that		8/2014	
	its are able to show mastery of the grade level CCSS in			
	nath, and ELD			
	The Synced Solution – Action Learning Systems	a.i,ii Teachers,	a.i.ii. February	a.i.ii. \$27,000-
	i. A scope and sequence will be developed that will	Site Admin, Dir.	2014- June	license, \$14,000
	modify our current ELA and Math adoptions	of Ed. Svcs., Sr.	2014	- subs (see
	materials in order to address the new common	Dir. of HR, Dir. of		Strategy 1a)
	core state standards. This scope and sequence	Fiscal, Network		
	will serve as the pacing guide for ELA and	Admin.		
	mathematics.	7.0		
	ii. An electronic pacing guide and lesson plan book			
	will be implemented using the ALS scope and	b. Dir. of Ed.	b. August 2014-	b. \$25,000 –
	sequence.	Svcs., ELD	June 2015	LCFF
h	The District will develop a common pacing guide for ELD	Teachers, Site	53110 2010	
D.	in grades K-8 to align with the Formative ELD benchmark	Admin.,		
	assessments.	Consultant		
C	Pacing guides will be aligned to the benchmark	c. see a.i.ii	c. see a.i.ii	c. see a.i.ii
<u> </u>	r admy galdes will be alighed to the benchmark	0. 366 a.i.ii	U. 300 a.I.II	o. 366 a.i.ii

assessments. Benchmark assessments will be			
administered regularly and results turned into the district.			
Strategy 4: develop online district-wide benchmark assessments in ELA, math, and ELD	a. see Strategy 3:	a. see Strategy	a. see Strategy 3:
a. The Synced Solution – Action Learning Systems i. Benchmark assessments will be developed by ALS to align with the scope and sequence for ELA and mathematics. All K-8 teachers will administer these assessments as indicated on the District Assessment Calendar.	a.i.ii	3: a.i.ii	a.i.ii
 b. Formative assessments will be developed by the District for ELD. All K-8 teachers will administer these assessments as indicated on the online District Assessment Calendar. 	b. see Strategy 3 b.	b. see Strategy 3 b.	b. see Strategy 3 b.
 Regularly scheduled district-wide or school site grade level meetings to discuss assessment results in order to monitor student progress and to create new instructional action plans. 	c. Teachers, Site Admin, Dir. of Ed. Svcs.,	c. 2014-15	c. no cost
 d. Benchmark assessment data is used for electronic report card grades. Weighting for assessments, assignments and teacher input on report cards are common district- wide. 	d. Dir. Of Ed. Svc, Network admin.	d. 2014-15	d. \$17,000 - LCFF
Strategy 5: Ensure that data is provided to teachers in a timely			
manner to ensure that data can guide instruction a. District Wide Data protocol analysis sheet (electronic on the district data system, currently data director.) – It will provide a consistent way for teachers to view data and analyze the percentage of students that score proficient and those that did not on benchmark assessments. (New online benchmark assessments) This tool should provide individualized progress monitoring. i. EL students ii. Special Education students	a. Dir. of Ed. Svcs.	a. 2014-15	a. no cost
iii. Other significant subgroups			

b.	Provide professional development on how to use the	b. Teachers, site	b. Fall 2014	b. no cost
	protocol sheet/form to guide instruction.	admin, Dir. of Ed.		
C.	Calendar regular monitoring and evaluation and adhere to	Svcs.		
	due dates.	c. Dir. of Ed.	c. Fall 2014	c. no cost
		Svcs.		
Strated	gy 6: Develop a district-wide system of collaboration to			
	teachers have time to share best practices, analyze data,			
	gn instruction			
	Minimum Days - Same start/end time will allow dedicated	a. DSLT, District	a. January 2014	a. no cost
	time for teachers to work with grade level teams as a	& RTA	,	
	school site and/or a district. (Vertical collaboration,	Negotiation		
	including elementary to middle school.) – SUBJECT TO	Teams		
	NEGOTIATIONS	roamo		
b.	Focused time for analysis, professional development, and	b. Teachers, site	b.2014-15	b. no cost
-	alignment of instruction through use of the protocol sheet,	admin, Dir. of Ed.	3.20	
	which ensures that every student need is met.	Svcs.		
C.	District calendar of collaboration topics.	c. Dir. of Ed.	c. 2014-15	c. no cost
•		Svcs.	0. 20	
Strated	gy 7: Ensure that every school implements a multi-tiered	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	ention program			
	Systematic, District-wide block schedule for RSP, ELD,	a. DSLT	a. January 2014	a. no cost
ű.	Tier Interventions to accommodate and facilitate	u. 2021	a. January 2011	i. \$40 per hour,
	individual needs based on ability to eliminate the current			Title I, Title III,
	pull-out programs (All pull out occur at the same time for			LCFF as
	intervention, RSP, double-dose ELD).	i. DSLT, District &	i. January 2014	appropriate
	i. Additional Staffing may be needed. – SUBJECT	RTA Negotiation	1. Julianiy 2014	b. approx.
	TO NEGOTIATIONS	Teams		\$25,000 per site –
h	Computer-based intervention curriculum that is	b. DSLT	b. Spring 2014	Title I, ASES
δ.	researched-based and includes measurable assessments	b. Boli	b. Opting 2014	c. no cost – grade
	to be used for evidence of growth for ELA and Math.	c. Network	c. 2014-15	level meeting or
C	Ongoing professional development on current technology	Admin., Site	0. 2011 10	collaboration time
0.	and programs being utilized.	Admin.		d. no cost – grade
Ь	Collaboration between classroom teachers and all support	d. Teachers, site	d. 2014-15	level meeting or
u.	personnel.	admin.	G. 2011 10	collaboration time
e	Hourly intervention specialist needed per site, approved	e. DSLT, District	e. Spring 2014	e. \$40 per hour,
0.	on an annual basis. – SUBJECT TO NEGOTIATIONS	& RTA	o. Opining 2014	Title I, Title III,
	OT ATT ATTIONS OF THE OTHER TIONS	<u> </u>		11001, 1100111,

	FF as
	propriate
1 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	no cost unless
	ditional hourly
	aff is hired (if
ii. The SST process will be included within the multi-	, see Strategy
tiered intervention pyramid to indicate when SSTs 7e.)	.)
will be held and which staff will be included at each	
level.	
iii. Measureable goals need to be developed during	
the SST process in order to monitor/mentor the	
progress of students receiving intervention.	
iv. Coaching/mentoring teachers will occur in order to	
support full implementation.	
	\$5,000 for
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ease time as
	eded – Title I,
	FF as
	propriate
1	no cost if held
	ring grade level
	eetings
education teacher and special education teacher.	
Strategy 8: Technology	
	Approximately
	2 per hour for
	nslators
·	Approximately
	,200 per
	ssion – Title I
to be used for evidence of growth for ELA and Math.	See Strategy
d. Students will learn computer-based skills with grade level d.i.,ii.,iii. District & d. 2014-15 7b.	
	TBD
i. Establish district technology standards/skills for	
each grade level.	

ii.	Standards/skills will be standardized across the district for each grade level.
iii.	Standards will include skills that will support students' ability to take the SMARTER Balanced assessment.

5. Provide high-quality professional development for the instructional staff that focuses on instructional improvement and supports the strategies and actions described above.

Please describe the professional development the LEA will	Person(s)	Specific	Estimated Cost/
provide to instructional staff to address the identified strategies and actions.	Responsible	Timeline	Funding Source (including 10% set-aside from
			Title I, Part A)
<u>Strategies: 1, 3, 5</u>			
 Professional development for newly purchased or realigned 	1. Dir. of Ed.	1. August	1. \$126,000
instructional materials needs to be purchased (ALS and new	Svcs.	2014	salaries, \$3,000
math materials).			vendor fees - LCFF
2. Professional development for pacing guides and benchmark	.2. Dir. of Ed.	2. August	2. \$126,000
assessments will be provided for teachers, administrators,	Svcs.	2014	salaries, \$3,000
and classified staff who are directly involved with classroom			vendor fees – LCFF
instruction.			3. \$126,000
3. Professional development for technology-based instructional	3. Dir. of Ed.	3. August	salaries, \$3,000
materials and assessments will be provided for teachers,	Svcs., Network	2014	vendor fees – LCFF
administrators and classified staff who are directly involved	Admin.		
with classroom instruction.			4. \$110-\$141 per
4. The district will ensure that a sufficient pool of substitute			day – General funds
teachers will be available to allow for professional	4. Sr. Dir. of HR	4. 2014-15	
development.			
Strategies 2, 7			5. \$2,500 per day
5. Coaching/mentoring teachers will occur in order to support full	- 011 0 51 111		(vendor fees)– Title I
implementation.	5. Site & District	5. 2014-15	6. \$4,000 per day
6. Professional development on Rtl	Admin.		(vendor fees) – Title
7. Special education qualifications	6. ALS	6. 2014-15	I, Title III, LCFF as
Strategies 5, 6	7. Special Ed.	7. 2014-15	appropriate
Provide professional development on how to use the	Staff		7. no cost

collaboration protocol sheet/form to guide instruction.	8. District/Site	8. 2014-15	8. no cost
Strategies to Increase Parent Involvement	Admin.		
9. Training for interpreters/translators will be held for the			
purpose of teaching academic language that would be used	911. District	911. 2014-	911.
for IEPs, Parent Teacher Conferences, etc.	Admin	15	Approximately \$500
 Professional development on best practices for effective translators. 			– Title III
 Professional development for translators on academic jargon and acronyms. 			
12. Parent technology nights to train parents on accessing			
PowerSchool and effective communication tools to use	12. District & Site	12. 2014-15	12. Approximately
between home and school.	Admin., Network		\$1,000 – Title III
Sites need to more education on LCFF in order to better	Admin.		
explain LCFF to the community.		13. Current	13. no cost
14. Training for elementary teachers on how to use PowerSchool	13. District & Site	practice -	
as a communication tool between home and school.	Admin	continue	
		14. 2014-15	14. no cost
	14. Network		
	Admin		

6. Incorporate, as appropriate, activities before school, after school, during the summer, and/or during an extension of the school year.

Please describe those activities and how the LEA will	Person(s)	Specific	Estimat	Fundin
incorporate them.	Responsible	Timeline	ed Cost	g
				Source
Migrant Summer School Program	1. Migrant Ed.	1. Summer	1.	1. Migrant
	Coordinator	2014		Ed.
Special Education Summer School Program	2. Dir. Special Ed.	2. Summer	Approx.	2. General
		2014	\$30,000	Fund
3. ASES After School Program	3. ASES	3. Currently in	3. Approx.	3. ASES
	Coordinator	place	\$600,000	Grant
4. Title I After School Programs	4. Site Admin.	4. Currently in	4. Approx.	4. Title I
-		place	\$20,000	
5. SES	5. Dir. of Ed.	5. Currently in	5. Approx.	5. Title I
	Svcs., Principals	place	\$180,000	
6. Pre K Extended Day / Year	6. Preschool	6. Currently in	6. Approx.	6. State
•	Coord.	place	\$80,000	Pre K
		•	· ·	Grant

7. Include strategies to promote effective parental involvement in the school.

Please describe parental involvement strategies and how	Person(s)	Specific	Estimate	Funding
the LEA will support them across the LEA.	Responsible	Timeline	d Cost	Source
1. Hire additional hourly translators/interpreters who will	1. District Admin.	1. Begin in	1. Approx.	1. LCFF,
be provided for each site, for outreach, Parent		January	\$12 per hour	Title I, Title
Teacher Conferences, SSTs, and IEPs.		2014		III
2. Parent Surveys/Needs Assessments will be used to	2. Site and District	2. January	2. no cost	2. no cost
determine areas of focus and needs of our parents.	Admin.	2014- June		
3. District-wide Parent Institute annually focusing on		2015		3.LCFF,
current academic issues resulting from the parent	3. Dir. of Ed. Svcs.	3. 2014-15	3. Approx.	Title I, Title
surveys.			\$1,200	III
4. School-wide Parent Institutes will be held focusing on	4. Site Admin.	4. 2014-15	4. Approx.	4. LCFF,
current academic, behavioral, and social issues.			\$5,000	Title I, Title

5.	An electronic parent portal will be made available so	- B: A I .	5 0044.45		III
	that parents can check on grades, attendance, and	5. District Admin.	5. 2014-15	5. Approx.	5. General
_	progress.				Fund
6.	Each school site will communicate the district's LCFF	6. Site Admin.	6. January	6. Approx.	6. Title I,
	vision and mission to the parents.		2014	\$100 per	LCFF
7.	Create support groups for families of students with			session	
	special needs and involving community resources.	7. Dir. Special Ed.	7. 2014-15	7. no cost	7. no cost
8.	Continue to hold annual Title I parent meetings.	89. District & Site	89. Current	89. Approx.	8.9. Title I,
9.	Continue to hold annual CELDT parent meetings.	Admin.	practice	\$250 per	LCFF, Title
10	. Continue to support and collaborate with the Migrant	10. District & Site	10. January	session	Ш
	Education program and liaisons.	Admin. & Migrant	2014	10. no cost	10. no cost
11.	. Continue hold six annual Migrant Education PAC	Coord.			
	meetings.	11. Migrant Coord.	1112.	11-12	11-12.
12	. Continue to hold 10 annual CSSP State Preschool	12. Preschool	Current	Approx. \$250	Migrant,
	PAC meetings.	Coord.	Practice	per session	State
13	. Align parent meetings with student performances to	13. District & Site	13. 2014-15	13. Approx.	Preschool
	increase parent attendance and participation.	Admin., Migrant		\$250 per	13. Title I,
	care param anonamino ana parampanon	Coord., Preschool		session	LCFF, Title
		Coord., AVID Site		00001011	III
		Coord.			'''
		00014.			

LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLAN ADDENDUM ASSURANCE PAGE

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Plan Information:

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,	
Name of LEA: Rosemead Scho	ool District	
County District Code: 19-649	31	
Date of Local Governing Boar	d Approval: January 9, 2014	
District Superintendent: Dr. A	ny Enomoto-Perez	
Address: 3907 Rosemead Blvd	l. City: Rosemead	Zip Code: 91770
Phone: 626-312-2900	FAX: 626-312-2906	E-mail: aeperez@rosemead k12.ca.us
Signatures:		
On behalf of LEAs, participant Plan Addendum:	s included in the preparation of this LEA Pro	gram Improvement
Signature of Superintendent	Printed Name of Superintendent	Date
Signature of Board President	Printed Name of Board President	Date

By submission of the local board approved LEA PI Plan Addendum (in lieu of the original signature assurance page in hard copy), the LEA certifies that the plan has been locally adopted and original signed copies of the assurances are on file in the LEA. The certification reads:

Certification: I hereby certify that all of the applicable state and federal rules and regulations will be observed by this LEA and that, to the best of my knowledge, information contained in this Plan is correct and complete. Legal assurances for all programs are accepted as the basic legal condition for the operation of selected projects and programs and copies of assurances are retained onsite. I certify that we accept all general and program specific assurances for Titles I, II, and/or III as appropriate, except for those for which a waiver has been obtained. A copy of all waivers will remain on file. I certify that actual ink signatures for this LEA Plan/Plan Addendum/Action Plan are on file, including signatures of any required external providers.